rpa

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 574 OF 2007
IN
APPEAL (L) NO. 979 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1152 OF 2004

M/s. Haldyn Glass Ltd. .. Appellants.

V/s.

Perumal Velapandy & Anr. .. Respondents.

Mr.V.P.Vaidya for Appellants.
Mr.R.D.Bhat for Respondent.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN AND
ANOOP V.MOHTA, JJ.

DATE : 11th DECEMBER, 2007.

P.C. :-

. Heard the learned Counsel for the Appellants and the learned Counsel for the Respondents. Perused the Notice of Motion and the affidavit in support thereof. For the reasons stated therein sufficient cause is made out for condoning 82 days delay in filing the above Appeal. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Appellants. Hence, the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.) **(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)**